

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexamdria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/783,917		02/15/2001	Seppo Alanara	872.0014USU	1347	
29683	7590	04/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		& SMITH, LLP	TRAN, P.	TRAN, PABLO N		
4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2685	2685 DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005	
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2009		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/783,917	ALANARA, SEPPO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Pablo N Tran	2685				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f te, cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)[\inf	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application or the second in the se	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/02/02</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	l Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/783,917 Page 2

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lannen et al. (5,497412) in view of Hughes et al. (4,535,204).

As claims 1-3, Lannen et al. disclosed a mobile station comprising a memory device for storing an IMEI code having at least six digit hexadecimal Serial Number (SNR) representation, wherein said SNR is used with a procedure so as to insure backwards compatibility with an existing installed base of mobile stations having a Binary Coded Decimal (BCD) SNR representation (see col. 18/In. 47-53 and conversion Table below). Lannen et al. do not specifically disclose a hexadecimal (modulo 16) check digit calculation procedure. However, such hexadecimal check digit calculation procedure is well known in the art, as taught be Hughes et al. (col. 11/In. 53-col. 12/In. 43. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such hexadecimal (modulo 16) check digit calculation procedure to the converting procedure of Lannen et al. in order to verify the validity of the mobile stations.

Application/Control Number: 09/783,917

Art Unit: 2685

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

3. Claims 4-5 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Dent et al. (5,991,635), Smith et al. (5,945,932), and Parmet et al. (4,325,117) disclose checksum calculation for use in a radiotelephone communication system.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (571)272-7898. The examiner normal hours are 9:30 -5:00 (Monday-Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (571)272-7899.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

•

Art Unit: 2685

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PABLO N. TRAN

April 16, 2005

AULLOST